

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet



Convenient synthesis of fused pyrano[3,2-h]- and furo[3,2-h]benzo[f]coumarins from naphthalene-2,3-diol $^{\Rightarrow}$

Konstantinos E. Litinas*, Theodoros S. Symeonidis

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54124, Greece

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 1 September 2009
Received in revised form
4 November 2009
Accepted 4 December 2009
Available online 18 December 2009

Keywords:
Pyranocoumarins
Furocoumarins
N-Methylformamide
Boron trifluoride
N.N-Dimethylformamide

ABSTRACT

The treatment of 8-propargyloxy-benzo[f]coumarin with boron trifluoride diethyl etherate in N,N-dimethylformamide under reflux or MW irradiation resulted in pyrano[3,2-h]benzo[f]coumarin, while the furo[3,2-h]benzo[f]coumarin is received from the treatment with N-methylformamide under MW irradiation.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Coumarin derivatives are an interesting class of heterocyclic system, since the coumarin ring is an essential core moiety for a variety of natural and synthetic biologically active compounds. ¹⁻³ In particular, fused coumarins and among them furocoumarins are important as photochemotherapeutic ⁴⁻⁹ agents and exhibit antitumorial, ¹⁰ antioxidant ³ and anti-inflammatory ³ activities. Pyranocoumarins are used also as photoactive drugs for skin disorders ¹¹ and possess antifungal, ¹² insecticidal, ¹² anticancer, ¹² anti-HIV, ^{6,13} anti-inflammatory, ^{3,14} antioxidant, ^{3,14} and antibacterial ¹⁵ activities. The synthesis of furocoumarins ^{5,7,8,16–25} or pyranocoumarins ^{5,16,17,24–28} has been achieved mainly by formation of furan or

The synthesis of furocoumarins^{5,7,8,10–25} or pyranocoumarins^{5,16,17,24–28} has been achieved mainly by formation of furan or pyran ring starting from hydroxycoumarins and using the Claisen^{16,29} rearrangement of the intermediate propargyloxy- or allyloxycoumarins. The tandem Claisen rearrangement-cyclization reaction of 3- or 7-propargyloxycoumarins resulted in the formation of fused furo[2,3-c]- or [2,3-h]coumarins under pyrolysis at 150 °C without solvent¹⁸ or by heating of *N,N*-dimethylformamide

(DMF) solution ¹⁹ at 80–90 °C (when bulky substituents are present in the propargyloxy moiety). Furocoumarins were received also by the heating of the mixture of propargyloxycoumarin with NaOAc²¹ at 190 °C or of N,N-dimethylaniline (N,N-DMA)²² solution at 130 °C or by refluxing of pyridine solution²² or by microwave irradiation²⁵ of N-methylformamide (NMF) solution (no substituents in the propargyloxy moiety). When the same reactions were performed under reflux in N,N-DMA²⁴ or N,N-diethylaniline (N,N-DEA)^{19,24,25,26} or by heating in chlorobenzene²⁷ solution at 100 °C or in xylene or toluene solution²⁸ at 110 °C or by microwave irradiation²⁵ in NMF solution (with bulky substituents in propargyloxy moiety), the fused pyrano[2,3-c]- or [2,3-h]- or [3,2-g]-coumarins were received. Dihydrofurocoumarins were obtained also by tandem Claisen rearrangement-cyclization reaction of allyloxycoumarins with BF₃·Et₂O and NMF²³ under microwave irradiation or by a step by step procedure²⁴ including Claisen rearrangement and subsequent cyclization by H₂SO₄. The dihydrofurocoumarins were oxidized with DDQ to furocoumarins.²⁴

As we can see in the above procedures, $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ is not yet in use in the Claisen rearrangement of the propargyloxycoumarins. In the course of our interest on the synthesis $^{3,14,30-34}$ of fused coumarin derivatives and the study of their biological activity 3,14,33,34 we wish to report here the application of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ on the synthesis of title compounds from 8-propargyloxy-benzo[f]coumarins. The reactions studied and the products received are depicted in Schemes 1 and 2.

[↑] Preliminary communications presented at 11th Belgian Organic Synthesis Symposium, July 13–18, 2008, Ghent, Belgium, Abstrs., p. 229 and at 2nd International Symposium on Organic Chemistry, December 13–16, 2008, Sofia, Bulgaria, Abstrs., p. 80.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +302310997864; fax: +302310997679. *E-mail address*: klitinas@chem.auth.gr (K.E. Litinas).

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) Ph₃P, DMAD, DCM, 0 °C (15 min) then reflux (2 h). (ii) K₂CO₃, acetone (dry), reflux, 4 h. (iii) BF₃/Et₂O, DMF, reflux, 24 h or BF₃/Et₂O, DMF, MW (180 °C, 10 min) or N,N-DEA, reflux, 20 h. (iv) BF₃/Et₂O, NMF, MW (180 °C, 10 min).

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) K₂CO₃, acetone (dry), reflux, 24 h. (ii) BF₃/Et₂O, DMF, reflux, 48 h or BF₃/Et₂O, DMF, MW (180 °C, 10 min). (iii) NMF, MW (180 °C, 10 min). (iv) BF₃/Et₂O, NMF, MW (200 °C, 20 min). (v) conc. H₂SO₄, 90 °C, 20 min. (vi) DDQ, toluene (dry), reflux, 24 h.

2. Results and discussion

By the treatment of a dichloromethane (DCM) solution of naphthalene-2,3-diol (1) in the presence of Ph₃P with a solution of dimethylacetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD)³¹ in DCM at 0 °C for 15 min and reflux for 2 h we received after the separation of the reaction mixture by column chromatography the methyl 5hydroxy-3-oxo-3*H*-benzo[*f*]chromen-1-carboxylate (**2**) in 52% yield (Scheme 1). We prepared the propargyloxycoumarin²⁶ derivative **4** (73%) by refluxing of a mixture of compound **2**, propargylbromide (3) and K₂CO₃ in dry acetone. Table 1 contains the efforts for the tandem Claisen rearrangement-cyclization of propargyloxy derivative 4. The use of BF₃·Et₂O in DMF under MW irradiation gave the best results for the formation of pyran derivative 5 (90% yield). in comparison to the heating under reflux with the same solvent or with N,N-DEA. When we irradiated in BF₃·Et₂O in NMF the furan derivative 6 increased from 8%-22%, while the pyranocoumarin 5 received in 66% yield. The irradiation in NMF without the BF₃·Et₂O resulted to a complicated mixture without any -COOMe group in ¹H NMR, while in DMF the starting material remained unchanged.

Treatment of the recently³⁴ prepared by us 5-hydroxy-3*H*-benzo[*f*]chromen-3-one (**7**) with the bromide **3** and K_2CO_3 in boiling dry acetone resulted in propargyloxycoumarin²⁶ derivative **8** in 82% yield (Scheme 2). MW irradiation (Table 1) of the solution of compound **8** in BF₃·Et₂O and DMF resulted in the pyranocoumarin **9** (92% yield) and to the furan derivative **10** (4%). We succeeded to isolate the furan derivative **10** in 80% yield, when we followed an analogous procedure, ²⁵ by heating compound **8** in NMF solution under MW irradiation. The starting compound **8** remained unchanged under MW irradiation (180 °C, 10 min) in *N*,*N*-DEA.

Table 1 Tandem Claisen rearrangement-cyclization of propargyloxy coumarin derivatives $\bf 4$ or $\bf 8$ to $\bf 5$, $\bf 6$ or $\bf 9$, $\bf 10$

Solvent	Reflux, h	MW, 180 °C, min	From 4		From 8	
			5 (yield %)	6 (yield %)	9 (yield %)	10 (yield %)
BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O/DMF	24	_	78	_	57 ^a	_
$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O/DMF$	_	10	90	8	92	4
$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O/NMF$	_	10	66	22	_	_
NMF	_	10	b	b	_	80
N,N-DEA	20	_	35	_	_	_
N,N-DEA	_	10	_	_	С	С
DMF	_	5	с	С	_	_

- a Reflux for 48 h.
- $^{\rm b}$ Complicated mixture at 100 $^{\circ}\text{C}.$
- ^c Unreacted starting material.

We prepared also the furan derivative **10** by another way. The mixture of compound **7**, allylbromide (**11**) and K_2CO_3 was heated under reflux in dry acetone and led to the allyloxycoumarin²⁶ derivative **12** in 84% yield. Treatment of the latter with BF₃·Et₂O in NMF under MW irradiation (200 °C, 20 min) gave the o-hydroxyallyl derivative **13** (82% yield) and the dihydrofuran derivative **14** (16% yield). When the compound **12** was irradiated at 180 °C for 10 min only the Claisen rearrangement product **13** was isolated (98%). Treatment of compound **13** with drops of concentrated H₂SO₄ resulted in the derivative **14** (72%). We received the furan derivative **10** (80% yield) by the oxidation of compound **14** with DDQ.²⁴

A former mechanistic scheme proposed earlier³⁵ for the Claisen rearrangement of aryl propargyl ethers apparently could be applied, as depicted in Scheme 3. From the [3,3]-rearrangement of the propargyloxycoumarin derivative 8 the allenyl intermediate A was formed and aromatized through tautomerization to the hydroxyallenyl derivative B. A [1,5-H]-shift in B led to D, which was then cyclized to the pyran derivative 9. In the presence of NMF, which has larger a dielectric constant³⁶ than DMF, there is a greater dissociation in intermediate B leading to oxyanion (C), which with internal nucleophilic attack in the central allenic carbon resulted in the furan derivative 10. The formation of furan derivative 10 could be explained also by the nucleophilic attack of phenol oxygen lone pair of B to the central allenic carbon (III), which is promoted by the H-bonding activation from protic NMF solvent to chromene carbonyl.

1H), 7.44–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 53.6, 104.9, 114.7, 115.1, 116.0, 121.6, 122.9, 125.8, 126.6, 128.2, 142.3, 147.1, 150.4, 160.7, 167.4; MS (ESI) 293 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{10}O_5$: C, 66.67; H, 3.73. Found: C, 66.59; H, 3.66.

3.1.2. Procedure for the synthesis of methyl 3-oxo-5-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-3H-benzo[f]chromen-1-carboxylate (4). To a solution of compound 2 (0.405 g, 1.5 mmol) in dry acetone (10 mL) propargylbromide (3) (0.178 g, 0.13 mL, 1.5 mmol) and anhydrous $\rm K_2CO_3$ (0.228 g, 1.165 mmol) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h to give, after filtration and crystallization of the hot filtrate, the propargyloxy derivative 4 as yellow crystals (0.337 g, 73%), mp 182–184 °C (acetone); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 3250, 3080, 2130, 1722, 1707, 1620, 1550; $^1\rm H$ NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 2.60 (t, J=1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.06

Scheme 3. (i) [3,3]-rearrangement. (ii) [1,5-H] sigmatropic shift. (iii) nucleophilic attack of phenol oxygen lone pair of B to the central allenic carbon.

In conclusion, we demonstrated methodologies using $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ and DMF or NMF for the *regio*selective synthesis of fused pyrano- or furocoumarins in good yields.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

Melting points were determined on a Kofler hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin–Elmer 1310 spectrophotometer as Nujol mulls. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 300 (300 MHz and 75 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C, respectively) using CDCl₃ as solvent and TMS as an internal standard. *J* values are reported in hertz. Mass spectra were determined on a LCMS-2010 eV Instrument (Shimadzu) under Electrospray Ionization (ESI) conditions. Microanalyses were performed on a Perkin–Elmer 2400-II Element analyzer. Silica gel N⁰ 60, Merck A.G. was used for column chromatography. The MW experiments were performed in a Biotage (Initiator 2.0) scientific MW oven.

3.1.1. 5-Hydroxy-3-oxo-3H-benzo[f]chromen-1-carboxylate (2). A solution of Ph₃P (2.624 g, 10 mmol) in DCM (30 mL) was dissolved in a solution of naphthalene-2,3-diol (1) (1.602 g, 10 mmol) in DCM (100 mL); then a solution of DMAD (1.42 g, 1.24 mL, 10 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was added dropwise over 15 min at 0 °C, and the orange solution was heated under reflux for 2 h. Evaporation of the solvent and separation by column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate 5:2) afforded 2 as yellow needles (1.404 g, 52%), mp 204–205 °C (DCM); IR(Nujol) ν (cm $^{-1}$): 3406, 3090, 1736, 1705, 1631, 1557; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 4.08 (s, 3H), 6.32 (s, 1H), 6.61 (s,

(s, 3H), 4.98 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.57 (m, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 53.5, 56.8, 87.4, 109.8, 111.9, 114.1, 116.0, 122.9, 123.0, 126.0, 126.5, 128.3, 130.6, 144.1, 145.9, 158.6, 160.9, 165.5; MS (ESI) 331 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₂O₅: C, 70.13; H, 3.92. Found: C, 69.92; H, 3.84.

3.1.3. Procedures for the synthesis of methyl 2-oxo-2,11-dihydrobenzo[f]pyran[3,2-h]chromen-4-carboxylate (5). (a) To a solution of compound 4 (93 mg, 0.3 mmol) in DMF (2.5 mL), BF₃·Et₂O (0.21 mL, 1.7 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 24 h. After cooling the mixture was poured in H₂O (50 mL) and the precipitate was washed with H₂O, dried in the air and recrystallized to give compound 5 as yellow crystals (72 mg, 78%), mp 132–134 °C (ethyl acetate); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 3090, 1732, 1714, 1652, 1548; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 4.05 (s, 3H), 5.04 (dd, J_1 =3.9 Hz, J_2 =1.8 Hz, 2H), 6.16 (dt, J_1 =10.0 Hz, J_2 =3.9 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 7.17 (dt, J_1 =10.0 Hz, J_2 =1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.70 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 53.2, 62.0, 114.9, 115.5, 119.8, 122.6, 124.1, 125.5, 126.2, 131.7, 133.1, 134.0, 134.3, 141.9, 145.3, 150.1, 160.0, 169.5; MS (ESI) 309 [M+H]+, 331 [M+Na]+. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₂O₅: C, 70.13; H, 3.92. Found: C, 70.13; H, 3.74.

(b) To a solution of **4** (50 mg, 0.16 mmol) in DMF (1.5 mL), BF₃·Et₂O (0.11 mL, 0.89 mmol) was added and the mixture irradiated at 180 °C for 10 min. After cooling the mixture was poured in H₂O and extracted by Et₂O. The ether layer dried (MgSO₄), concentrated and separated by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate (3:1)) to give the furan derivative **6** (4 mg, 8%) followed by compound **5** (45 mg, 90%).

3.1.4. Procedure for the synthesis of methyl 2-methyl-10-oxo-10Hbenzo[f]furo[3,2-h]chromen-8-carboxylate (6). $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (0.14 mL, 1.14 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 4 (62 mg, 0.2 mmol) in NMF (1 mL) and irradiated at 180 °C for 10 min. After cooling, H₂O was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with H₂O, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated and separated by column chromatography [silica gel. hexane/ethyl acetate (3/1)l to give compound **6** as deep vellow crystals (14 mg, 22% yield); mp 196–198 °C (DCM-hexane); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 3030, 1722, 1708, 1630, 1545; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 2.64 (s, 3H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 7.51-7.62 (m, 2H), 7.80 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃. 75 MHz) δ: 14.1, 53.5, 102.9, 112.2, 112.8, 114.1, 122.0, 123.0, 123.6, 124.1, 124.6, 125.3, 126.1, 126.3, 146.6, 158.4, 159.6, 167.9; MS (ESI) 331 $[M+Na]^+$, 347 $[M+K]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{12}O_5$: C, 70.13; H, 3.92. Found: C, 70.30; H, 4.16. From the next fractions eluted the starting material 4 (7 mg, 12%) and the pyran derivative 5 (41 mg, 66%).

3.1.5. 5-(*Prop-2-yn-1-yloxy*)-3*H-benzo*[*f]chromen-3-one* (8). (According to 3.1.2. with reflux for 24 h). Yellow crystals (82% yield), mp 225–227 °C (acetone); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 3270, 3060, 2120, 1710, 1630, 1555; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 2.58 (t, J=2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J=2.7 Hz, 2H), 6.62 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.55–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.85 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H); J³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 56.8, 69.2, 93.9, 105.6, 107.0, 112.9, 116.2, 121.2, 126.3, 126.5, 127.9, 138.1, 139.2, 141.1, 145.7, 159.0; MS (ESI) 273 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{10}O_3$: C, 76.79; H, 4.03. Found: C, 76.96; H, 4.22.

3.1.6. Benzo[f]pyran[3,2-h]chromen-2(11H)-one (**9**). (a) [According to 3.1.3. (a) after reflux for 48 h]. After cooling and evaporation of the solvent the residue was subjected to repeated PTLC [silica gel, hexane/DCM (1:1), four elutions] to give from the faster moving band the pyran derivative **9** as yellow crystals (57%), mp 187–190 °C (dec) (DCM/hexane); IR(Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 3060, 1706, 1630, 1540; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 5.03 (d, J=5.5 Hz, 2H), 6.11–6.16 (m, 1H), 6.55 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.99 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 65.6, 112.9, 115.6, 120.3, 121.7, 122.2, 122.8, 123.6, 124.9, 125.5, 125.9, 126.2, 126.5, 138.9, 155.8, 160.0; MS (ESI) 251 [M+H]⁺, 273 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₀O₃: C, 76.79; H, 4.03. Found: C, 76.65; H, 4.21. From the next moving band eluted the starting compound **8** (24 mg, 40%).

(b) [According to 3.1.3. (b)]. The furan derivative **10** (4%) was received from column chromatography followed by the starting material **8** (4%) and the pyran derivative (92%).

3.1.7. Procedure for the synthesis of 2-methyl-10H-benzo[f]furo[3,2hlchromen-10-one (10). A solution of derivative 8 (70 mg, 0.28 mmol) in NMF (1.5 mL) was irradiated at 180 °C for 10 min. After cooling, H₂O was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with H₂O, dried (MgSO₄), evaporated and separated by column chromatography [silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate (3:1)] to give the furan derivative 10 as light brown needles (56 mg, 80%), mp 255-257 °C (DCM-hexane); IR(KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3087, 1713, 1652, 1587; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 2.63 (s, 3H), 6.50 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 7.59– 7.64 (m, 2H), 8.10 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 14.4, 102.8, 113.0, 118.4, 122.0, 122.2, 124.3, 126.0, 126.5, 130.1, 130.6, 139.9, 140.3, 155.4, 158.8, 159.9; MS (ESI) 273 [M+Na]+, 289 [M+K]+. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₀O₃: C, 76.79; H, 4.03. Found: C, 77.01; H, 3.94. The starting compound 8 (13 mg, 18%) followed.

3.1.8. 5-Allyloxy-3H-benzo[f]chromen-3-one (12). To a solution of hydroxy compound 7 (0.250 g, 1.18 mmol) in dry acetone (20 mL),

K₂CO₃ (0.181 g, 1.3 mmol) and allylbromide (**11**) (0.157 g, 0.113 mL, 1.3 mmol) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 27 h. After filtering, the hot filtrate was crystallized to give compound **12** as light yellow crystals (0.249 g, 84%), mp 120–122 °C (acetone); IR (KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3080, 1706, 1571; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ: 4.80 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 2H), 5.38 (d, J=10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, J=17.3 Hz, 1H), 6.10–6.23 (m, 1H), 6.61 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.50–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.78 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.47 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ: 69.9, 108.4, 111.8, 113.9, 116.1, 118.5, 121.1, 125.9, 126.4, 127.6, 130.5, 132.4, 137.0, 139.2, 145.4, 160.2; MS (ESI) 275 [M+Na]⁺, 291 [M+K]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₂O₃: C, 76.18; H, 4.79. Found: C, 76.12; H, 4.54.

3.1.9. 6-Allyl-5-hydroxy-3H-benzo[f]chromen-3-one (13) and 2methyl-2,3-dihydro-10H-benzo[f]furo[3.2-h]chromen-10-one (14). To a solution of compound 12 (88 mg, 0.35 mmol) in NMF (1 mL), BF₃·Et₂O (0.25 mL, 2.03 mmol) was added and the mixture was irradiated at 200 °C for 20 min. After cooling, the mixture was poured in H₂O and extracted by DCM. The organic layer was washed with H₂O, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated and separated by column chromatography [silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate (4:1)] to give compound 13 as yellow crystals (72 mg, 82%), mp 214-216 °C (dec) (DCM); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 3350, 3020, 1705, 1635; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 3.95 (d, J=5.9 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (d, J=16.5 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (d, *J*=10.1 Hz, 1H), 6.00-6.12 (m, 1H), 6.37 (br s, 1H), 6.57 (d, J=9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.61 (m, 2H), 8.02 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (d, J=9.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 67.9, 112.1, 114.6, 116.1, 121.6, 123.6, 124.0, 124.4, 125.5, 126.4, 129.9, 135.0. 139.9. 140.0. 143.9. 159.8: MS (ESI) 275 [M+Nal+. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₂O₃: C, 76.18; H, 4.79. Found: C, 76.02; H, 4.53. Compound **14** as yellow crystals (14 mg, 16%) was eluted next, mp 244–246 °C (DCM-hexane); IR(KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3060, 1704, 1595, 1567; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 1.63 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 3.21 (dd, J₁=16.4 Hz, J_2 =8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (dd, J_1 =16.4 Hz, J_2 =9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.24-5.37 (m, 1H), 6.54 (d, *J*=9.9 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.65 (d, *J*=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J=9.9 Hz, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ : 22.1, 36.7, 81.9, 113.6, 115.0, 122.1, 123.6, 125.0, 125.2, 126.4, 127.5, 139.3, 142.0, 145.2, 146.0, 159.7; MS (ESI) 275 [M+Na]⁺, 291 [M+K]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₂O₃: C, 76.18; H, 4.79. Found: C, 76.25; H, 4.64. From the next moving band the starting compound 12 (20 mg, 23%) was eluted.

3.1.10. Cyclization of compound **13** to dihydrofuran derivative **14**. The compound **13** (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) was treated with concentrated H_2SO_4 (three drops, 65 mg, 0.66 mmol) and heated at 90 °C for 20 min. After cooling, ice (4 g) was added and the mixture was extracted with DCM (4×5 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (2×3 mL) and dried over MgSO₄ to give after filtration and evaporation of the solvent compound **14** (19 mg, 72%).

3.1.11. Oxidation of dihydrofuran derivative **14** to furan derivative **10**. To a solution of compound **14** (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) in dry toluene (5 mL), 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) (28 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 48 h. After filtration, evaporation of the solvent and crystallization of the residue from ethanol compound **10** (20 mg, 80%) was obtained.

References and notes

- Murray, D. H.; Mendez, J.; Brown, S. A. The Natural Coumarins: Occurrence, Chemistry and Biochemistry; J. Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, 1982; pp 1–667.
- O'Kennedy, R.; Thornes, R. D. Coumarins: Biology, Applications and Mode of Action; J. Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1997; pp 1-358.
- 3. Fylaktakidou, K. C.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D. J.; Litinas, K. E.; Nicolaides, D. N. Curr. Pharm. Design. **2004**, *10*, 3813.
- Dall'Acqua, F.; Vedaldi, D. In CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology; Horspool, W. M., Song, P. S., Eds.; CRC: Boca Raton, FL, 1995; pp 1341–1350.

- Santana, L.; Uriarte, E.; Roleira, F.; Milhazes, N.; Borges, F. Curr. Med. Chem. 2004, 11, 3239.
- Kulkarni, M. V.; Kulkarni, G. M.; Lin, C.-H.; Sun, C.-M. Curr. Med. Chem. 2006, 13, 2795.
- Wulff, H.; Rauer, H.; During, T.; Hanselmann, C.; Ruff, K.; Wrisch, A.; Grissmer, S.; Hansel, W. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 4542.
- 8. Chimichi, S.; Boccalini, M.; Cosimelli, B.; Viola, G.; Vedaldi, D.; Dall' Acqua, F. Tetrahedron **2002**, 58, 4859.
- 9. Kitamura, N.; Kohtani, S.; Nakagaki, R. J. Photochem. Photobiol. C: Photochem. Rev. 2005, 6, 168.
- Santana, L.; Uriarte, E.; Dalla Via, L.; Gia, O. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10. 135.
- 11. Mali, R. S.; Pandhare, N. A.; Sindkhedkar, M. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7109.
- Mali, R. S.; Joshi, P. P.; Sandhu, P. K.; Manekar-Tilve, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 371 and references cited therein.
- Xie, L.; Takeuchi, Y.; Cosentino, L. M.; McPhail, A. T.; Lee, K. H. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 664.
- 14. Nicolaides, D. N.; Gautam, D. R.; Litinas, K. E.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D. J.; Fylaktakidou, K. C. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, 39, 323.
- Melliou, E.; Magiatis, P.; Mitaku, S.; Skaltsounis, A.-L.; Chinou, E.; Chinou, I. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 78.
- Moody, C. J. In Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katritzky, A. R., Ed.; Academic: Orlando, FL, 1987; Vol. 42, p 231.
- 17. Rao, C. P.; Prashant, A.; Krupadanam, G. L. D. Indian J. Chem. 1994, 33b, 593.
- 18. Ram, R.; Krupadanam, G. L. D.; Srimannarayana, G. Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 2421.

- 19. Zhang, Q.; Chen, Y.; Xia, Y.; Yang, Z.; Xia, P. Synth. Commun. 2004, 34, 4507.
- 20. Majumdar, K. C.; Debnath, P.; Maji, P. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 5265.
- 21. Murray, R. D. H.; Jorge, Z. D. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 3165.
- 22. Majumdar, K. C.; Khan, A. T.; Das, D. P. Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 917.
- 23. Saidi, M. R.; Rajabi, F. Heterocycles 2001, 55, 1805.
- 24. Garazd, M. M.; Garazd, Y. L.; Khilya, V. P. Chem. Nat. Comp. 2005, 41, 245.
- 25. Saidi, M. R.; Bigdeli, K. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1998, 800.
- Rodighiero, P.; Manzini, P.; Pastorini, G.; Bordin, F.; Guiotto, A. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1987, 24, 485.
- 27. Majumdar, K. C.; Khan, A. T.; De, R. N. Synth. Commun. 1988, 18, 1589.
- 28. Rao, C. P.; Srimannarayana, G. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 535.
- 29. Lutz, R. P. Chem. Rev. **1984**, 84, 205.
- 30. Galariniotou, E.; Fragos, V.; Makri, A.; Litinas, K. E.; Nicolaides, D. N. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, 63, 8298.
- Baldoumi, V.; Gautam, D. R.; Litinas, K. E.; Nicolaides, D. N. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 8016.
- Gautam, D. R.; Protopapas, J.; Fylaktakidou, K. C.; Litinas, K. E.; Nicolaides, D. N.; Tsoleridis, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 448.
- Kontogiorgis, C.; Litinas, K. E.; Makri, A.; Nicolaides, D. N.; Vronteli, A.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D. J.; Pontiki, E.; Siohou, A. J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 2008, 23, 43.
- Symeonidis, T.; Chamilos, M.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D. J.; Kallitsakis, M.; Litinas, K. E. Bioorg, Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 1139.
- 35. Rao, U.; Balasubramanian, K. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 5023.
- 36. Geiser, L.; Cherkaoui, S.; Veuthey, J.-L. J. Chromatogr., A 2002, 979, 389.